



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,118	06/23/2003	Peter T. Robertson	RF010906USNP	7175
57572	7590	10/30/2008	EXAMINER	
MARK S. NOWOTARSKI 30 GLEN TERRACE STAMFORD, CT 06906			RINES, ROBERT D	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3686				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/30/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,118	ROBERTSON ET AL.	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) R. DAVID RINES. (3) _____.

(2) Mark Nowotarski (Reg. #47,828). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 September 2008 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

37

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. DAVID RINES/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3686

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and representative discussed amendments to the claim 37 to limit the number of predictive questions to four in accordance with the Specification as originally filed, which notes that four questions were determined that meet the claimed 5% or greater level of confidence threshold. Examiner and representative additionally discussed amendments to overcome potential statutory subject matter rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101. Examiner agreed to enter the proposed amendments as an Examiner's amendment and to allow the case in light of the amended claims. Representative declined the offer and indicated an interest in continuing prosecution. .